

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/601,414		08/01/2000	MASAHITO YOSHIKAWA	1344-00	2306	
22469	7590	07/17/2002				
SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS, LLP 1600 MARKET STREET SUITE 3600 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER			
				GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1764	(0)	
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		99					
		İ	Applicant(s)						
	Advisory Action	09/601,414	YOSHIKAWA ET AL.						
		Examiner	Art Unit						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Walter D. Griffin	1764						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
Í	a) The period for reply expires 4 months from 4	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
	b) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in SIX MONTHS from the mailing da FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	THE FINAL REJECTION See MRED						
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensi 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally se ths after the mailing date of the final	r the fee. The appropriate extension fee un et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forti rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a						
	 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 								
	(a) M they raise new issues that would	cause:							
	 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be) 	r consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);						
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	elow);							
	 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or simplifying	the					
	(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	Q a corresponding number of	of finally sainted to						
	See Continuation Sheet.		n illially rejected claims.						
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):							
	 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	e allowable if submitted in a							
	 5.								
ı									
7									
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	ar appointed,						
	Claim(s) allowed:								
	Claim(s) objected to:								
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .								
_	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)[☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Evaminer						
9	The tric attached information disclosure Statement(s	s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	provide by the Examiner.						
10	. Other:	,	··						
S P	atent and Trademark Office		Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/601,414





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 concerning the pore aperture raises new issues that would require further

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: each reference relied upon for the rejection discloses isomerization of polyalkyl substituted aromatics. Therefore, the examiner maintains that these disclosures would at least suggest to one having ordinary skill in the art that isomerization of the claimed compounds utilizing the claimed catalysts would be successful. The declaration filed on June 24, 2002 is not persuasive because each reference is not limited solely to the disclosed example of isomerization of xylene. As stated above, the full disclosure relates to the isomerization of polyalkyl substituted aromatics.